

State & prove Inverse Function Theorem

Statement:- If the continuous function $f(x)$ be strictly increasing (or decreasing) in the closed interval $[a, b]$, then the equation $y = f(x)$ defines an ~~one~~ inverse function $x = \phi(y)$ which is also continuous and strictly increasing (or decreasing) in the interval $f(a) \leq y \leq f(b)$

Proof:- Let the function $y = f(x)$ be continuous and (say) strictly increasing in $a \leq x \leq b$. Then if x_1, x_2 lie in this interval, $x_2 > x_1$, implies $f(x_2) > f(x_1)$ — (1)

and conversely

let $f(a) = \alpha$ and $f(b) = \beta$ and y be any number between α & β . Then for some value x_1 of x between a & b , we must have $f(x_1) = y$. Moreover this value is unique, for

$$f(x_1) = f(x_2) \text{ implies } x_1 = x_2 \quad (2)$$

thus the relation $y = f(x)$ sets up one-to-one correspondence between x & y denoted by $x \leftrightarrow y$ over the intervals $a \leq x \leq b$ and $\alpha \leq y \leq \beta$.

Hence x is an inverse function of y over $\alpha \leq y \leq \beta$ and if we denote this ~~one~~ inverse function by $x = \phi(y)$, we have $y = f\{\phi(y)\}$, $x = \phi\{f(x)\}$.

if $x_1 = \phi(y_1)$, $x_2 = \phi(y_2)$, the converse of (1) states that

$$y_2 > y_1 \text{ implies } \phi(y_2) > \phi(y_1).$$

i.e. $\phi(y)$ is also a strictly increasing function in $\alpha \leq y \leq \beta$.

Next, to prove the continuity of $\phi(y)$ in this interval, we consider the positive function

$$F(x) = f(x+\epsilon) - f(x), \epsilon \text{ being an arbitrary small positive quantity.}$$

Since $F(x)$ is continuous in $a \leq x \leq b-\epsilon$, it assumes its least value S at some point of this interval, hence if x_1, x_2 are two points for which $|x_1 - x_2| \geq \epsilon$, then since $f(x)$ is strictly increasing,

$$|f(x_1) - f(x_2)| = |y_1 - y_2| \geq \delta.$$

Consequently when $|y_1 - y_2| < \delta$, we must have

$$|x_1 - x_2| = |\phi(y_1) - \phi(y_2)| < \epsilon$$

i.e. $x = \phi(y)$ is continuous throughout the interval.

We take
 $f(x)$ as continuous
function in $a \leq x \leq b$.

Q. Obtain a set of sufficient conditions under which a function $f(x, y)$ is differentiable at a given point (a, b) .

Here we propose to show that if a function $f(x, y)$ defined in a certain neighbourhood V of a point (a, b) be such that

- (i) $f_x(a, b)$ and $f_y(a, b)$ exist
- (ii) one of $f_x(x, y)$ and $f_y(x, y)$ is continuous at (a, b) ,
then $f(x, y)$ is differentiable at (a, b) .

Proof:-

Let $(a+h, b+k)$ be any point in the neighbourhood V of (a, b) in which f_x & f_y exist.

Let $f_y(x, y)$ is continuous at (a, b) .

Now, we have

$$|f(a+h, b+k) - f(a, b)| = |f(a+h, b+k) - f(a+h, b) + f(a+h, b) - f(a, b)| \quad (1)$$

Since f_y exists in V , by Mean value theorem we have

$$f(a+h, b+k) - f(a+h, b) = \kappa \cdot f_y(a+h, b+\theta k) \quad (2)$$

where θ lies between 0 & 1

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \text{Mean value theorem is} \\ f(a+h) - f(a) = hf'(a+oh), \text{ where } 0 < o < 1 \end{array} \right]$$

Also, since $f_y(x, y)$ is continuous at (a, b) , we have

$$\lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ y \rightarrow b}} f_y(x, y) = f_y(a, b)$$

$$\therefore \lim_{\substack{h \rightarrow 0 \\ \kappa \rightarrow 0}} f_y(a+h, b+\theta k) = f_y(a, b) \quad [\text{Putting } x = a+h \text{ & } y = b+\theta k]$$

$$\therefore f_y(a+h, b+k) = f_y(a, b) + \psi(h, k) \quad (3)$$

where $\psi(h, k) \rightarrow 0$ as $(h, k) \rightarrow 0$

Again, since $f_x(a, b)$ exists, therefore by definition of $f_x(a, b)$,

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a+h, b) - f(a, b)}{h} = f_x(a, b)$$

$$\therefore f(a+h, b) - f(a, b) = h [f_x(a, b) + \phi(h)] \quad (4)$$

where $\phi(h) \rightarrow 0$ as $h \rightarrow 0$

Using (2) & (4) in (1), we get

$$f(a+h, b+k) - f(a, b) = \kappa f_y(a+h, b+\theta k) + h [f_x(a, b) + \phi(h)],$$

where $\phi(h) \rightarrow 0$ as $h \rightarrow 0$

Using (3), we get

$$f(a+h, b+k) - f(a, b) = \kappa [f_y(a, b) + \psi(h, k)] + h [f_x(a, b) + \phi(h)],$$

$$\text{where } \lim_{\substack{h \rightarrow 0 \\ k \rightarrow 0}} \phi(h) = \lim_{\substack{h \rightarrow 0 \\ k \rightarrow 0}} \psi(h, k) = 0$$

$$\text{i.e. } f(a+h, b+k) - f(a, b) = h f_x(a, b) + \kappa f_y(a, b) + h \phi(h) + \kappa \psi(h, k), \quad (5)$$

$$\text{where } \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \phi(h) = \lim_{\substack{h \rightarrow 0 \\ k \rightarrow 0}} \psi(h, k) = 0$$

But (5) means that the function $f(x, y)$ is differentiable at (a, b) by definition. So it completes the first part of the theorem.